

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/736,948	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KAWAHATA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert C. Scheibel	<b>Art Unit</b> 2666	

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(2) Brian S. Myers.

**Status of Application: Pending**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 15 June 2005

**Time:** 11:50 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 11-15

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner provided rough outline of an obviousness rejection of independent claims 1 and 11-15. Examiner indicated that the claim 9, if written in independent format, would be allowable and recommended amending the claims to make them allowable at this time to expedite prosecution of the application. Examiner also indicated the 112 rejection that can be made with respect to claim 1. Mr. Myers indicated that he needed to contact his client to discuss this matter. Mr. Myers left a message on examiner's voicemail on June 16, 2005 indicating that the applicant had requested an office action.